Environmental diagnosis of forest fragments belonging to the Santa Genebrinha ecological corridor, Campinas, São Paulo
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Abstract
Green areas, whether located in urban or rural environments, play an important role in maintaining ecological systems that provide vital environmental services for the quality of life of populations. In this sense, the present study assessed the environmental damage in the forest remnants that make up the Santa Genebrinha ecological corridor in Campinas city, São Paulo State, to identify limitations in the implementation and maintenance of this corridor. Initially, we surveyed geoindicators and the distribution of forest remnants in the Ribeirão Anhumas watershed, where the corridor is inserted. Subsequently, we developed an impact assessment matrix to quantify the main environmental damages affecting the forest remnants that make up this corridor. The results allowed us to identify the most impacting actions on the corridor: agriculture/monoculture, deforestation, burning, urbanization, and land use and occupation, with quantification (Q) averages of -7.6; -8.3; -7.3; -8.1; and -7.3, respectively, resulting in a high damage percentage (66.7%) for each action. The diagnosis pointed to local scope, direct incidence, and current temporality, reinforcing the need to consider these factors for implementation and management of the quoted corridor.
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Diagnóstico ambiental dos fragmentos florestais pertencentes ao corredor ecológico Santa Genebrinha, Campinas, São Paulo

Resumo
As áreas verdes, localizadas em ambientes urbanos ou rurais, desempenham papel fundamental para a manutenção dos sistemas ecológicos que fornecem serviços ambientais vitais à manutenção da qualidade de vida das populações. Nesse sentido, o presente estudo teve por objetivo levantar os danos ambientais ocorrentes nos remanescentes florestais que compõem o corredor ecológico Santa Genebrinha no município de Campinas/SP a fim de identificar as limitações na implantação e manutenção deste corredor. Inicialmente realizou-se um diagnóstico dos geoindicadores e da distribuição dos remanescentes florestais na Bacia do Ribeirão Anhumas, onde o corredor está inserido. Posteriormente aplicou-se uma matriz de avaliação de impactos adaptada de forma a quantificar os principais danos ambientais que acometem os remanescentes florestais que compõem esse corredor. As ações mais impactantes observadas sobre o corredor foram: a agricultura/monocultura, o desmatamento, as queimadas, a urbanização e o uso e ocupação do solo, com médias para quantificação (Q) de -7,6; -8,3; -7,3; -8,1 e -7,3, respectivamente, acarretando em percentual para danos elevados de 66,7% para cada ação e diagnóstico de abrangência pontual, incidência direta e temporalidade atual, reforçando a necessidade de consideração destes fatores na implantação e gestão do corredor ecológico em estudo.

Palavras-chave: Ações antrópicas, geoprocessamento, indicadores geoeológicos, vegetação natural remanescente.

Introduction
Lack of urban planning coupled with poor urban management and social imbalances affect landscape quality, environmental components, and quality of life standards (Patra, Sahoo, Mishra, & Mahapatra, 2018). According to Maynard, Cruz and Gomes (2017), detecting human impacts on ecosystems is essential to make decisions consistent with the reality of each location.

In addition, understanding the interaction between natural and social elements is fundamental for establishing a systemic and integrated approach to territories. This process, called as geosystemic approach, aims to diagnose geosystems from their physical and social attributes, and lead to an appropriate territorial ordering (Pereira; Chávez, & Silva, 2012).
In this context, an effective tool for the spatial organization of these territories is watershed delimitation. Watershed planning enables the integration between anthropic and natural systems, thus approaching a condition closer to sustainability (Peres & Chiquito, 2012). To assess watershed status, in turn, it is necessary to apply qualitative and quantitative indicators for actions that aim, especially in urban watersheds, to raise the degree of human interference with the natural landscape (Carvalho, Curi, Carvalho, & Curi, 2011).

Studies indicate that more intensive land use can lead to a significant increase in landscape fragmentation, reducing vegetation cover areas and promoting their discontinuous arrangement (Chaves & Santos, 2009). Although the recovery of fragmented landscapes is still a challenge, there are alternatives for containing environmental degradation. Since the 1970s, one of the strategies for the conservation of fragmented ecosystems is the creation of ecological corridors. This alternative aims, above all, to increase the connectivity of the landscape, enabling the increase of fauna and flora and species displacement (Seoane, Díaz, Santos & Froufe, 2010; Greccio; Pissarra, & Rodrigues, 2009).

Ecological corridors are already part of the Brazilian environmental legislation since Federal Law No. 9.985/2000, which established the National System of Conservation Units (SNUC). In the second article of this Law, ecological corridors are defined as portions of natural or seminatural ecosystems that promote interconnection between natural areas and, thus, guarantee genetic flow, species dispersion, and habitats for species that need large extensions for survival (Lei nº 9.985, 2000).

This study assessed environmental damage in the forest remnants that make up the Santa Genebrinha ecological corridor, in Campinas city, São Paulo State, to identify limitations in the implementation and maintenance of this corridor.

Materials and Methods

Study area characterization

The Ribeirão Anhumas watershed is the largest watershed among Atibaia River contributors in Campinas city, Brazil. Its area extends from latitude 7,462,827 to 7,482,500 N and from longitude 282,500 to 296,870 E in the UTM Zone 23 S, with an approximate spatial extent of 150 km² distributed over the cities of Campinas (97%) and Paulínia (3%) (Torres, Adami, & Coelho, 2014).

Located over a transitional region between the Atlantic Forest and Cerrado biomes, the Ribeirão Anhumas watershed area can be called ecotone, in which there is a transition between two different ecosystems. Moreover, there may be tension and/or active interaction between them, resulting in distinct features in both adjacent ecosystems (Moro & Milan, 2016).

The Cerrado is a highly complex biome whose phytophysiognomy is distributed in a mosaic pattern, and can be presented under three distinct characteristics: grassland (clean field), savannah (dirty field), and forest (cerradão) (Coutinho, 2006). The Cerrado area in the Anhumas watershed is very small, corresponding to about 8.8% of the total area (Table 1), being one of the isolated patches of this biome occurring in the interior of São Paulo State (Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária [Embrapa], 2013). The Atlantic Forest biome is predominant in the watershed. It is noteworthy that Brazil accounts for 33% to 36% of all plant species existing in this biome. However, forest fragmentation reducing this biome to small remnants is the main threat to its biodiversity (MMA, 2010).

Furthermore, the watershed is located in a transitional geomorphological region, with the occurrence of two Basic Compartmentation Units (UCBs): (1) Paraná Volcano-Sedimentary Basin - Peripheral Depression; and (2) Atlantic Orogenic Belt. These morphostructural units differ from each other in their structural, lithological, and geotectonic characteristics, which is linked to their genesis (Secretaria do Meio Ambiente de São Paulo, 2015). Regarding pedology, Red-Yellow Argisols (RYA = 40.3%) predominate, followed by Red Latosols (RL = 30.4%) and Red-Yellow Latosols (RYL = 19.6%) (Table 1) (EMBRAPA, 2008).

According to EMBRAPA (2019), Red-Yellow Argisols are deep and very deep, well drained soils, with predominance of the superficial horizon A and medium to clayey texture; however, their natural fertility is low. Red Latosols are distinguished by their reddish color due to high levels of iron oxides. These soils are deep and porous and generally have low amount of water available to plants and greater susceptibility to compaction. Red-Yellow Latosols, in turn, are also characterized by being well drained and deep. They are uniform in color, texture, and structure, and generally have low phosphorus contents under natural conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biome</th>
<th>Atlantic Forest</th>
<th>Cerrado</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geomorphology</td>
<td>Paraná Volcano-Sedimentary Basin</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedology</td>
<td>RYA</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RYL</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RL</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YL</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other classes</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Map of São Paulo State biomes, on a 1:500,000 scale, produced by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) and the Ministry of Environment (MMA) (IBGE, 2018); (2) Geomorphological Map of São Paulo State, on a 1:50,000 scale, produced by the Department of Geography of the Faculty of Philosophy, Letters and Human Sciences of the University of São Paulo (FFLCH) in partnership with the Institute for Technological Research (IPT) (ROSS & Moroz, 1997); (3) Mapping of soils, on a 1:50,000 scale (EMBRAPA, 2008).

Data collection and analysis

The watershed diagnosis was a priori based on the analysis of land use and occupation, performed from the “Map of Land Use and Coverage of UGRHI 05 (PCJ) - 2013” (Secretaria do Estado de São Paulo, 2013) from visual interpretation of
SPOT image with 10 m resolution for the period 2007 to 2009.

Then, forest remnants were mapped considering the subclasses of land use and occupation called “forest” and “reforestation”. The fragments in the Anhumas watershed were mapped from the orthophotos for the year 2010, provided by Empresa Paulista de Planejamento Metropolitano (2010), and in comparison to the forest fragments identified by Futada (2007).

All analyses were performed using GIS software. From this survey it was possible to diagnose the watershed of interest, correlating geocological factors (biome, geomorphology, pedology, and remnant vegetation) to socioeconomic factors (land use and occupation).

Considering the existence and distribution of forest fragments in this watershed, we took as object of study those fragments of the “Mata Santa Genebrinha - APP Ribeirão Anhumas” ecological corridor (Figure 1), a project that is part of the Municipal Plan of Green Areas of Campinas City and aims to protect and connect preservation areas between the universities PUC-CAMPINAS and UNICAMP.

The “Mata Santa Genebrinha - APP Ribeirão Anhumas” corridor is established by municipal legislation (Resolução n. 13 de julho de 2016) and has fifteen fragments, which together total approximately 82 ha and eleven watercourses (among them Ribeirão Anhumas and Ribeirão das Pedras) (https://informacao-didc.campinas.sp.gov.br). Table 2 summarizes the main information about the fragments.

**Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)**

The environmental impact on the “Mata Santa Genebrinha - APP Ribeirão Anhumas” ecological corridor was assessed from the application of the Environmental Impact Assessment Matrix adapted to natural vegetation fragments (Gomes, 2017). To facilitate the matrix application, the forest fragments that make up the ecological corridor were grouped according to geographical location into six groups, so that in each group the fragments are no more than 250 m apart. Thus, 6 matrices were elaborated, which included the respective sets of fragments: M(1): F1, F10, F12, F14; M(2): F7, F15; M(3): F2; M(4): F13; M(5): F3, F4; and M(6): F5, F6, F8, F9, F11.

Environmental aspects of landscape relevance (agriculture/monoculture, movement of people, circulation of vehicles, deforestation, atmospheric emissions, burning, noise, urbanization, land use and occupation, rural and urban roads) were evaluated based on data collected through geoprocessing software.

Qualitative and quantitative parameters were then defined: benefit, absence of damage, low damage, moderate damage, and high damage, as suggested by Leopold et al. (1971) and applied by Gomes (2017). Moreover, three indicators that characterize damage and degradation (scope, incidence, and temporality) were applied, as described in Table 3.

Impacts were quantified (Q) according to the Equation $Q = C \times (P + R + Se + Si)$, whose attributes are shown in Table 3.

To discuss the results, we considered the average obtained for each impact action and for each damaged environment, that is, the average was calculated for each row and each column, being presented at the end of the matrix. For averaging, Equation $Q = \frac{\sum Q}{12}$ was applied for impact actions, and Equation $Q = \frac{\sum Q}{19}$ for damaged environment. Table 4 presents the final configuration of the Environmental Impact Assessment Matrix adapted for the present study.

![Figure 1. Map of “Mata Santa Genebrinha – Ribeirão Anhumas Permanent Preservation Area” ecological corridor, Campinas city, São Paulo State, 2016.](image-url)
### Table 2. Forest fragments of the “Santa Genebrinha - APP Ribeirão Anhumas” ecological corridor, Campinas city, São Paulo State, 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fragment</th>
<th>Latitude (UTM)</th>
<th>Longitude (UTM)</th>
<th>Perimeter (m)</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
<th>Phytophysiognomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>7474765.36</td>
<td>290503.25</td>
<td>1,089.31</td>
<td>22,972.18</td>
<td>Mixed Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>7474650.76</td>
<td>288741.07</td>
<td>648.56</td>
<td>14,182.85</td>
<td>Swamp Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>7475384.50</td>
<td>289147.84</td>
<td>342.69</td>
<td>7,832.72</td>
<td>Swamp Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>7475274.34</td>
<td>2890.20.66</td>
<td>858.74</td>
<td>34,895.7</td>
<td>Swamp Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>7473624.33</td>
<td>290147.84</td>
<td>1,114.38</td>
<td>14,205.16</td>
<td>Mixed Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>7473648.52</td>
<td>290450.34</td>
<td>254.33</td>
<td>1,762.31</td>
<td>Mixed Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7</td>
<td>7473664.11</td>
<td>287654.09</td>
<td>2,716.93</td>
<td>330,514.19</td>
<td>SSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>7473571.75</td>
<td>290776.75</td>
<td>931.94</td>
<td>12,722.42</td>
<td>Mixed Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9</td>
<td>7473977.91</td>
<td>290484.38</td>
<td>1,230.88</td>
<td>19,236.84</td>
<td>Mixed Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F10</td>
<td>7470516.04</td>
<td>290115.36</td>
<td>449.2</td>
<td>8,599.43</td>
<td>Mixed Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F11</td>
<td>7474054.46</td>
<td>290259.65</td>
<td>1,341.89</td>
<td>35,955.93</td>
<td>SSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F12</td>
<td>7475216.34</td>
<td>289671.09</td>
<td>680.13</td>
<td>20,007.07</td>
<td>Mixed Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F13</td>
<td>7473940.70</td>
<td>289468.25</td>
<td>1,463.92</td>
<td>105,102.42</td>
<td>SSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F14</td>
<td>7475136.59</td>
<td>289912.39</td>
<td>654.62</td>
<td>29,885.28</td>
<td>SSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F15</td>
<td>7472602.19</td>
<td>291721.17</td>
<td>819.27</td>
<td>18,349.82</td>
<td>Mixed Forest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: adapted from Geoambiental (2016).

### Table 3. Quantitative parameters and analytical indicators of the adapted (Gomes, 2017) EIA matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Identifies whether effects occurring in degraded areas are only felt in nearby areas or can cause environmental changes in distant areas.</td>
<td>Local (L) Regional (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence</td>
<td>Measures whether the impacts are linked to any environmental changes in the area or are consequences of unrelated changes.</td>
<td>Direct (D) Indirect (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporality</td>
<td>Assesses whether the impact seen in the segment was due to a current activity or a past action.</td>
<td>Past actions (P) Current actions (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantification (Q)</td>
<td>Grid quantification.</td>
<td>Benefit (0.1 to 12) Absence of damage (0) Low damage (-0.1 to -4) Moderate damage (-4.1 to -8) High damage (-8.1 to -12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character (C)</td>
<td>Multiplication parameter that indicates whether the impact is positive or negative.</td>
<td>Damage (-1) Benefit (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability (P)</td>
<td>Indicates the favorable outlook for something to happen.</td>
<td>Low probability (1) Average probability (2) High probability (3) Reversible damage (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility (R)</td>
<td>Parameter that varies according to the flexibility of the environment for the recovery of its natural conditions.</td>
<td>Reversible damage; however, with difficulty in reaching natural conditions (2) Irreversible damage (3) Little severe damage (1) Intermediate damage (2) Very severe damage (3) Little significant damage (1) Intermediate damage (2) Very significant damage (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity (Se)</td>
<td>Indicates the degree of damage severity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance (Si)</td>
<td>Parameter that measures impact significance considering the impact for all the analyzed environment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Matrix adapted adapted (Gomes, 2017) for the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q ≥ 12 Benefit</th>
<th>Q = 0 Absence of damage</th>
<th>-0.1 ≥ Q ≥ -4 Low damage</th>
<th>-4.1 ≥ Q ≥ 8.0 Moderate damage</th>
<th>-8.1 ≥ Q ≥ -12.0 High damage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Physical characteristics</td>
<td>Biological characteristics</td>
<td>Landscape characteristics</td>
<td>Sociocultural characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L (local)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (regional)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (direct)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (indirect)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (past actions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (current actions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results and Discussion

From the land use and occupation data collected for this study, the use of the Ribeirão Anhumas watershed was reclassified into five classes (exposed soil, water resources, green areas, rural areas, and built-up areas), as shown in Figure 2A. There is a predominance of land use and occupation classes corresponding to impermeable surfaces. In general, it is a highly urbanized watershed, and this feature is reflected in the high percentage of built-up areas (49.80%). This configuration occurs especially in the upper course region, one of the first urbanization regions in Campinas city and which houses the highest demographic concentrations along with the verticalization process in the city (Carpi Júnior et al., 2006).

Associated with the high urbanization index, the watershed also presented low index of soil cover by green areas, corresponding to only 16.73% of the territory. Suppression of vegetation cover and the consequent reduction of green areas in urban centers emerge as a negative externality of urbanization (Amato-Lourenço, Moreira, Arantes, Silva Filho, & Mauad, 2016).

Both in terms of quantity and quality, soil sealing is still a major factor related to the observed hydrological changes in urban watersheds, such as increased flooding and erosion (Loboda & Angelis, 2005; Damame, Oliveira, & Longo, 2019).

Chaves and Santos (2009) highlighted that among the watersheds most impacted in terms of water quality are those that suffer or have suffered an accelerated occupation process. According to Carpi Júnior et al. (2006), the Ribeirão Anhumas watershed is an example of this. It is possible to find numerous flooding points, especially in the central region of Campinas city (upper course), which threatens the urban infrastructure due to the lack of rainwater infiltration.

However, not all green areas surveyed have the same relevance for environmental management purposes. An analysis of the typologies of green areas showed that this category is composed of the following subclasses: wetland, natural grassland, urban green space, forest, and reforestation, according to results presented in Table 5. These subclasses have very diverse characteristics regarding phytophysionomy and therefore different importance for the environmental quality of the watershed.

The data presented in Table 3 show that the green areas in the Ribeirão Anhumas watershed consist mostly of natural grassland areas (42.90%). According to the Sistema
Ambiental Paulista DataGEO (2013), grassland areas correspond to rural areas with nonarboreal vegetation. There are also the classes “urban green space”, including squares and parks, and “wetlands”, represented by areas marginal to water bodies, but without the presence of tree cover (Silva & Longo, 2017). These areas are less representative and are not relevant for the purposes of this study, whose objective is to analyze the forest fragments of the watershed.

Figure 2. Land use and occupation (A) and distribution of forest remnants (B) in the Ribeirão Anhumas watershed, Campinas city, São Paulo State, 2009.

According to Silva and Longo (2017), forest fragments in the Ribeirão Anhumas watershed cover an area of 1,002.10 ha, which is equivalent to only 6.40% of the watershed. Among these, 65.09% are in the lower course region. The high number of fragments in a relatively small area indicates an intense process of landscape fragmentation. According to the definition of the Ministry of Environment (MMA)(2003), fragmentation is the phenomenon of fractionation of a previously continuous landscape or habitat. When fragmented and isolated, these areas begin to interact more effectively with the surrounding environments, becoming even more vulnerable to the edge effect, intensifying habitat changes and increasingly modifying that environment (Sampaio, 2011; Oliveira et al., 2015).

Table 5. Subclasses of Green Areas in the Ribeirão Anhumas watershed, Campinas city, São Paulo State, 2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subclass</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
<th>% in relation to green areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td>18.81</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation</td>
<td>167.34</td>
<td>6.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>965.73</td>
<td>36.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Green Space</td>
<td>343.30</td>
<td>13.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Grassland</td>
<td>1,123.44</td>
<td>42.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Area</strong></td>
<td>2,618.62</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding the results of the Environmental Impact Assessment, Figure 4 presents the selected relevant aspects (agriculture/monoculture, burning, etc.) and the respective percentages obtained in relation to the quantification given by the application of the adapted Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) matrix in the six sets of fragments.

Except for the impacts “atmospheric emissions” and “noise”, all others presented a high classification percentage (Table 6), justifying the low environmental conditions found for the fragments in the ecological corridor. The impacts “agriculture/monoculture”, “deforestation”, “burning”, “urbanization (buildings)”, and “land use and occupation” emerged as the most aggravating, with the highest valuation for the “high” quantification.

Table 6. Quantification percentage of the impact actions listed in the EIA matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact actions</th>
<th>Benefit (%)</th>
<th>Absence of damage (%)</th>
<th>Low damage (%)</th>
<th>Moderate damage (%)</th>
<th>High damage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture/ Monoculture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement of people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation of vehicles</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deforestation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric emissions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbanization (buildings)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land use and occupation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural road</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban road</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Silva, Felizmino, & Oliveira (2015), one of the most significant environmental impacts in recent years has
been the removal of land cover, particularly due to
deforestation and intensive land use. Allied to this activity, the
level of degradation resulting from anthropic activity observed
in natural environments intensifies along with the urbanization
process.

Since it involves the concentration of people and
productive activities in a restricted space, urbanization
generates environmental impacts with synergistic and
persistent effects. However, it is not only the occupied land
area that accounts for environmental impacts: the pattern of
consumption and waste generation may require and impact an
amount of natural resources that goes beyond the occupied
area. In developing countries, urbanization is mostly
associated with greater environmental degradation (Jatobá,
2011).

In a study conducted in the Amazon region, Santos,
Andrade Filho, Rocha, & Menezes (2017) found that changes
in land use patterns have been quite intense, significantly
interfering with the climate of the region. According to the
authors, deforestation and burning are the main causes of these
impacts, since they emit trace gases and particles leading to
negative changes in the hydrological cycle of the region, such
as decreased rainfall, prolongation of the dry season, and
changes in rainfall recycling.

In assessing the dynamics and succession of agricultural
landscape patterns in Côcos city, Bahia State, Hessel,
Carvalho Junior, Gomes, Martins, & Guimarães (2012) found
a relationship between deforestation in the Cerrado biome and
its appropriation, especially by entrepreneurs linked to the
agribusiness and foreign market. The dynamics of land use
and land cover in the aforementioned city, in the years of 1996
and 2008, showed that human interventions in forest areas
usually occur from burning and deforestation. Roads are then
established in these initially altered regions, causing the
landscape to be divided and the Cerrado to be fragmented.

Schaadt & Vibrans (2015) also cited the same occupation
configuration, in which deforestation and burning for the
implantation of pasture; agriculture; homogeneous
reforestation with exotic species; and the expansion of urban
areas contributed to the reduction of the mixed ombrophilous
forest area, which originally covered 45% of the territory of
Santa Catarina State.

In this scenario of expansion and changes in land use,
fragmentation processes are frequent. Economic development
should also be considered as it makes the forest more
fragmented for the benefit of other land uses. Thus, the
application of techniques aimed at controlling and evaluating
these fragmentation processes, such as spatial analysis, is
fundamental (Costa, Matricardi, & Pires, 2015).

Complementarily, Figure 3 presents the comparison of
diagnoses for analytical description of the studied remnants.

The analysis of Figure 3 allows the understanding that the
impacts of direct incidence, local scope, and current
temporality predominate in the analyzed sets of fragments.
This is justifiable since urban occupation was directly
responsible for the major changes suffered in the Ribeirão
Anhumas watershed (Damame, Oliveira & Longo, 2019).

According to Rosa (2014), anthropic systems correspond
to areas where there has been intense human intervention for
land use, e.g., for agricultural development, animal husbandry,
or mining. This would likely result in an analytical description
of direct incidence, local scope, and past temporality.
However, in the case under study, this system refers to an
urban area with great growth potential and several active
monoculture areas, so the temporality is still current.

Regarding the data obtained for incidence, the results of
Sobral et al. (2007) in a study carried out in the Serra de
Itabaiana National Park, Sergipe State, corroborate with the
findings of this study. When evaluating the occurrence of nine
impacting actions in the area of interest, such as burning,
agricultural practices, wood removal, and trails, the authors
found that these impacts were classified as negative and of
direct origin. Notwithstanding, in assessing their scope, the
authors classified burnings as regional, while the increase in
erosion processes and the degradation of chemical water
quality due to agricultural practices, in addition to wood
removal and trails, were classified as local.

These differences are justified by the fact that the methods
used in an EIA involve issues of subjectivity, since the
matrices make use of weighting criteria of subjective grades
and depend on the evaluator’s judgment.

Conclusions

The Ribeirão Anhumas watershed is located in a region
where geomorphological structures and biomes (Atlantic
Forest and Cerrado) are under transition, contributing to the
rich biodiversity of the region. However, due to poor distribution of land use and occupation, there is a predominance of unnatural uses and sealed areas to the detriment of remaining natural areas.

The most impacting actions on the fragments analyzed are agriculture/monoculture, deforestation, burning, and urbanization. The average analytical diagnosis pointed to local scope, direct incidence, and current temporality, reinforcing the need for consideration of these factors during the implementation and management of the “Mata Santa Genebrinha” corridor.
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